



Checklist for Department Revisions of Discipline-Specific Scholarship Standards

- Disciplinary methods, publication venues, and measures of impact reflect specializations of probationary as well as tenured faculty members
- COVID rider is revised to reflect persistent impacts of the pandemic on faculty research and publication, if relevant, and embedded in the standards document
- Digital scholarship standards of relevant professional associations are referenced, if relevant for the discipline
- Discussion or ranking of publication venues identifies predatory journals and addresses weighting of open access publications along with identification of top-tier venues
- Identification of the point at which scholarly publications and creative productions are considered “complete” (or “counted” in evaluation and promotion)
- University standards are not weakened by discipline-specific standards.
- If the department changes the ranking of acceptable scholarly publications, this should be accompanied by an explanation why the publication is being added, removed or reassessed, and evidence to support the change. The explanation is not part of the standards document, but is a supplement for the dean to help them evaluate the change and decide whether to approve it.
- Criteria weights and differences (if any) are articulated across tenure-track ranks
- Evidence that department consultations and votes included all tenure-track faculty